

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,426	04/25/2001	Andrei V. Dorofeev	03226.554001	8550	
7590 03/15/2005			EXAMINER		
JONATHAN P. OSHA			VO, LILIAN		
OSHA & MAY	LLP				
1221 MCKINNEY STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 77010			2127		
HOUSTON, TX 77010			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/843,426	DOROFEEV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lilian Vo	2127	

Defens the Filing of an Annual Drief	<u> </u>						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lilian Vo	2127					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) and the appropriate out	oncion foo have				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	and the same of the same	£30	L				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		geotea Gairrio.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: NONE							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:							
	MENGADIAN TO	•					
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Lilian Vo Examiner Art Unit: 2127					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments recites the new limitation such as the "allocating system resources comprises using fair-share scheduling" would requires further consideration and/or search. As for applicant's arguments regarding previous addressed issues, applicant is directed to the final office action for response to arguments.